

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.            | FILING DATE            | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|----------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/768,222                 | 01/30/2004             | John L. Andersen     | BUI02 P-320         | 6217            |
| 277 75                     | 90 02/24/2005          |                      | . EXAMINER          |                 |
| PRICE HENE                 | VELD COOPER DEW        | CHAPMAN, JEANETTE E  |                     |                 |
| 695 KENMOO<br>P O BOX 2567 | R, S.E.                |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER    |
|                            | GRAND RAPIDS, MI 49501 |                      |                     |                 |

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| i   |   |   |                              | -       |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|------------------------------|---------|--|--|--|--|
|   |   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)                 |         |  |  |  |  |
| N   | Office Action Summany   | 10/768,222  | ANDERSEN, JOHN L.            |         |  |  |  |  |
| Ü   | Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit                     |         |  |  |  |  |
|   | TI MAN INO DATE AND   | Chapman E Jeanette  | 3635                         |         |  |  |  |  |
| Peri  | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |   |                              |         |  |  |  |  |
|   | A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |                              |         |  |  |  |  |
| Stat  | us  |   |                              |         |  |  |  |  |
| 2   | <ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 January 2004.</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>   |   |                              |         |  |  |  |  |
| Disp  | osition of Claims   |   |                              |         |  |  |  |  |
|   | <ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 17 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>   |   |                              |         |  |  |  |  |
| Арр   | lication Papers   |   |                              |         |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. |   |   |                              |         |  |  |  |  |
| Prio  | rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |                              |         |  |  |  |  |
| 1   | 2) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies.   | s have been received.<br>s have been received in Applicati<br>rity documents have been receive<br>ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No<br>ed in this National | l Stage |  |  |  |  |
| 1) 🔀<br>2) 🗀  | hment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/30/04.   | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:  | ate                          | O-152)  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/74344

Art Unit: 3635

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-16, drawn to a housing for a dryer venting, classified in class
   220, subclass 3.3.
- Claim 17, drawn to a method of installing, classified in class 52, subclass
   741.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group I and group II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the method could be used for vent having additional or different elements than those claimed in group I.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 3635

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Mr. Rath on 2/9/05 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-13. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claim 14 has been withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

Claims 1-4, 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Harpenau (6419102).

A housing for a dryer venting comprising;

- A top wall 22
- A bottom wall 23
- two side walls 21a & 21b
- a rear wall 20 attached to the top, bottom and side walls
- The above walls are combined to define an inner space sized to house a portion of venting attached to a clothes dryer

Art Unit: 3635

A front face 18/24 extending from one of the walls

- A standoff extending 25 rearwardly from the front face plate and having a portion: the standoff includes a hole which is adapted to receive a screw 32
- A knockout 69 is sized to receive a duct 49 attached to a clothes dryer; figures 6 and 7 formed in the top and side walls

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 5, 8-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harpenau.

Variations exist in the specific manner of attachment used such ad the post and bracket for holding the duct in place is viewed as an additional holding means for holding the duct to the housing; the same is not apart of the housing.

The top, bottom and side walls are attached to the rear wall with a certain angle; the exact angle has been considered a matter of choice; one of ordinary skill in the art would have appreciated any angle which would enable the housing to function as intended and purposed.

Application/Control Number: 10/

Art Unit: 3635

. Variations exist in the standoff portion used to attach the housing to the wood stud; such variations do not hinder the housing to be properly and sufficiently attached to the studs. Applicant's structure has not been shown to be superior or better than that of Harpenau. One of ordinary skill in the art would have appreciated using any means of attachment, such as the beveled portion to assist in attachment, which would enable sufficient attachment of the standoff portion to the wood stud

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chapman E Jeanette whose telephone number is 703-308-1310. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri, 8:30-6:00, every other fri. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Friedman Carl can be reached on 703-308-0839. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).